

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE**

BRANDON SMITH,
individually,

Plaintiff,

V.

Civil Action No. _____

**POPLAR PERKINS
ASSOCIATION,
a domestic company,**

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, BRANDON SMITH, (hereinafter “SMITH” or “Plaintiff”) hereby
sues Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, a domestic company,
(hereinafter “Defendant”) for injunctive relief, attorney’s fees, litigation expenses,
and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et*
seq. (hereinafter “ADA”), and the ADA Accessibility Guidelines, 28 C.F.R. Part
36 (hereinafter “ADAAG”). In support thereof, Plaintiff respectfully shows this
Court as follows:

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the ADA. This Court is vested with original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 for Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 42

U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, based upon Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION's, failure to remove physical barriers to access and violations of Title III of the ADA.

2. Venue is properly located in the Western District of Tennessee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs or the judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred. The Defendant's property is located in and does business within this judicial district and all events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this judicial district.

3. Plaintiff, BRANDON SMITH, is and has been at all times relevant to the instant matter, a natural person residing in Tennessee and is sui juris.

4. Plaintiff is an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA.

5. Plaintiff is substantially limited in performing one or more major life activities, including but not limited to: walking and standing.

6. Plaintiff uses a wheelchair for mobility purposes.

7. Plaintiff is also an independent advocate of the rights of similarly situated disabled persons and is a "tester" for the purpose of enforcing Plaintiff's civil rights, monitoring, determining and ensuring whether places of public accommodation are in compliance with the ADA. Plaintiff's motivation to return to a location, in part, stems from a desire to utilize ADA litigation to make his

home community more accessible for Plaintiff and others; and pledges to do whatever is necessary to create the requisite standing to confer jurisdiction upon this Court so an injunction can be issued correcting the numerous ADA violations on the Subject Property, including returning to the Ugly Mug Cafe as soon as it is accessible (“Advocacy Purposes”).

8. Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, is a domestic company conducting business in the State of Tennessee and within this judicial district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. On multiple prior occasions, and as recently as December of 2019, Plaintiff attempted to but was deterred from patronizing and/or gaining equal access as a disabled patron, to the Ugly Mug Cafe, a cafe located at 4610 Poplar Avenue, Memphis, Tennessee 38117.

10. POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, is the owner, lessor, and/or operator/lessee of the real property and improvements that are the subject of this action, specifically the Ugly Mug Cafe and its attendant facilities, including common vehicular parking and exterior paths of travel within the site identified by the Shelby County Assessor parcel identification number 057019 00032 (“Subject Facility”, “Subject Property”).

11. Plaintiff lives less than twenty (20) miles from the Subject Property. Because the Subject Property is located on Poplar Avenue, a Memphis thoroughfare that he frequents routinely, he is consistently where the Subject Property is located and travels in and about the immediate area of the Subject Property numerous times every week.

12. Plaintiff's access to the Subject Property and/or full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein were denied and/or limited because of his disabilities, and he will be denied and/or limited in the future unless and until Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, is compelled to remove the physical barriers to access and correct the ADA violations that exist at the Subject Property, including those set forth in this Complaint.

13. Plaintiff has visited, i.e. attempted to patronize, the Subject Property on multiple prior occasions, and at least once before as a patron and advocate for the disabled. Plaintiff intends on revisiting the Subject Property within six months of the filing of this Complaint or sooner, as soon as the barriers to access detailed in this Complaint are removed. The purpose of the revisit is to be a regular patron, to determine if and when the Subject Property is made accessible, and to maintain standing for this lawsuit for Advocacy Purposes.

14. Plaintiff intends on revisiting the Subject Property to enjoy the same experiences, goods, and services available to Defendant's non-disabled patron as well as for Advocacy Purposes, but does not intend to continue to repeatedly re-expose himself to the ongoing barriers to equal access and engage in the futile gesture of attempting to patronize the Subject Property, a business of public accommodation known to Plaintiff to have numerous and continuing barriers to equal access for wheelchair users.

15. Plaintiff recently traveled to the Subject Property as a patron and as an independent advocate for the disabled, encountered and/or observed the barriers to access that are detailed in this Complaint, engaged those barriers where physically possible, suffered legal harm and legal injury, and will continue to suffer such harm and injury as a result of the illegal barriers to equal access present at the Subject Property.

COUNT I

16. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993 if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

17. The Subject Property is a public accommodation and service establishment.

18. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice and Office of Attorney General promulgated federal regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA. 28 C.F.R. Part 36.

19. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations by January 26, 1992 (or by January 26, 1993 if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

20. The Subject Property must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and the ADAAG.

21. Plaintiff has attempted to, and has to the extent possible, accessed the Subject Property in his capacity as an intended patron and as an independent advocate for the disabled, but could not fully do so because of his disabilities resulting from the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Subject Property that preclude and/or limit his access to the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

22. Plaintiff intends to visit the Subject Property again in the very near future as a patron and as an independent advocate for the disabled, in order to utilize all of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or

accommodations commonly offered at the Subject Property but will be unable to fully do so because of his disability and the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Subject Property that preclude and/or limit his access to the Subject Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

23. Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, has discriminated against Plaintiff (and others with disabilities) by denying his access to, and full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the Subject Property, as prohibited by, and by failing to remove architectural barriers as required by, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

24. Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others with disabilities unless and until Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, is compelled to remove all physical barriers that exist at the Subject Property, including those specifically set forth herein, and make the Subject Property accessible to and usable by Plaintiff and other persons with disabilities.

25. A specific list of unlawful physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations which Plaintiff experienced and/or observed that precluded and/or

limited Plaintiff's access to the Subject Property and the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations of the Subject Property include, but are not limited to:

ACCESSIBLE PARKING

- a. There are no designated accessible parking spaces present in violation of Section 4.6 of the 1991 ADAAG and Sections 208 and 502 of the 2010 ADAAG. This violation made it dangerous for Plaintiff to utilize the parking facility at the Subject Property and caused Plaintiff undue upset and loss of opportunity.
- b. There is no van accessible parking present in violation of Section 4.6 of the 1991 ADAAG and Sections 208, 302 and 502 of the 2010 ADAAG. This violation made it dangerous for Plaintiff to utilize the parking facility at the Subject Property and caused Plaintiff undue upset and loss of opportunity.
- c. There are no access aisles for any parking spaces in violation of Section 4.6 of the 1991 ADAAG and Section 502 of the 2010 ADAAG. This violation made it dangerous for Plaintiff to utilize the parking facility at the Subject Property and caused Plaintiff undue upset and loss of opportunity.
- d. The paint delineating the accessible parking and/or access aisle serving the designated accessible parking is not maintained so that it clearly marks the accessible parking location in violation of 28 CFR § 36.211, Section 4.6 of the 1991 ADAAG, and Section 502.3.3 of the 2010 ADAAG. These violations made it dangerous for Plaintiff to utilize the parking facility

at the Subject Property and caused Plaintiff undue upset and loss of opportunity.

RAMP

- e. The curb ramp (the only means of access to wheelchair users) has a run which exceeds the maximum slope requirement (8.33%) set forth in Section 4.7.2 of the 1991 ADAAG and Section 406.1 of the 2010 ADAAG. This violation made it dangerous for Plaintiff to access the Subject Facility and caused Plaintiff undue upset and loss of opportunity.

ACCESS TO GOODS AND SERVICES

- f. The sales/service counter is too high for wheelchair users. Violation: The sales/service counter exceeds the maximum allowable height of 36 inches from the finish floor in violation of Section 7.2 of the 1991 ADAAG and Sections 227.3 and 904.4 of the 2010 ADAAG. This violation prevented access to Plaintiff equal to that of able-bodied individuals and caused him humiliation and embarrassment.
- g. The minimum required clear space of the sales counter is obstructed by objects (sales items, merchandise, etc.) regularly placed and/or maintained within the minimum required clear counter surface area (36 inches wide) in violation of 28 CFR § 36.211, Section 7.2 of the 1991 ADAAG, and Sections 227.3 and 904.4 of the 2010 ADAAG. These violations prevented access to Plaintiff equal to that of able-bodied individuals and caused him embarrassment and humiliation.

ACCESSIBLE RESTROOM

- h. The restroom door closer causes the door to close too quickly. Violation: The sweep speed settings of the door closer for the accessible restroom door has not been maintained properly by Defendant which has caused the door closing speed to increase with time and use so that the time allowed to transition the door, from an open position of 90 degrees to a position of 12 degrees from the latch, is too brief to allow individuals with mobility impairments to enter and/or exit safely, independently and/or without difficulty in violation of Section 4.13.10 of the 1991 ADAAG and Section 404.2.8 of the 2010 ADAAG. This accessible feature is not being maintained by Defendant in violation of 28 CFR § 36.211. This violation made it physically difficult and stressful for Plaintiff to enter and exit the accessible restroom.
- i. The pressure setting on the restroom door closer has not been maintained properly by Defendant which has caused the pressure required to open/close the restroom door to increase with time and use such that the restroom door requires excessive force to open. Violation: The restroom door requires more than the maximum allowable opening force for an interior hinged door (5 foot pounds-force) in violation of Section 4.13.11 of the 1991 ADAAG and Section 404.2.9 of the 2010 ADAAG Standards. This accessible feature is not being maintained by Defendant in violation of 28 CFR § 36.211. This violation caused Plaintiff difficulty, physical strain and stress, and humiliation when attempting to use the restroom entry door independently.
- j. The restroom entrance door hardware (door “knob”) requires tight grasping, pinching, or twisting of the

wrist. Violation: ADA compliant door hardware is not provided on the restroom doors in violation of Section 4.13.9 of the 1991 ADAAG and Sections 309.4 and 404.2.7 of the 2010 ADAAG.

- k. The toilet flush valve is not reachable for a person bound to a wheelchair. Violation: The flush valve is not mounted on the open side of the toilet in violation of Section 4.16.5 of the 1991 ADAAG and Section 604.6 of the 2010 ADAAG.
- l. The mirror provided for public use in the restroom is mounted too high to be accessed by a wheelchair user in violation of Section 4.19 of the 1991 ADAAG and Section 603 of the 2010 ADAAG.

MAINTENANCE PRACTICES

- m. Defendant has a practice of failing to maintain the accessible features of the facility, creating barriers to access for the Plaintiff, as set forth herein, in violation of 28 CFR § 36.211. This practice prevented access to the plaintiff equal to that of Defendant's able-bodied customers causing Plaintiff loss of opportunity, anxiety, humiliation and/or embarrassment.
- n. Defendant has a practice of failing to maintain the accessible elements at the Subject Facility by neglecting its continuing duty to review, inspect, and discover transient accessible elements which by the nature of its design or placement, frequency of usage, exposure to weather and/or other factors, are prone to shift from compliant to noncompliant so that said elements may be discovered and remediated. Defendant failed and continues to fail to alter its inadequate maintenance practices to prevent future recurrence of noncompliance with dynamic accessible

elements at the Subject Facility in violation of 28 CFR § 36.211, the 1991 ADAAG, and the 2010 ADAAG. These violations, as set forth hereinabove, made it impossible for Plaintiff to experience the same access to the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations of the Subject Facility as Defendant's able-bodied patrons and caused loss of opportunity, anxiety, embarrassment and/or humiliation.

- o. Defendant has failed to modify its discriminatory maintenance practices to ensure that, pursuant to its continuing duty under the ADA, the Subject Property remains readily accessible to and usable by disabled individuals, including Plaintiff, as set forth herein, in violation of 28 CFR § 36.302 and 36.211. This failure by Defendant prevented access to the plaintiff equal to that of Defendant's able-bodied customers causing Plaintiff loss of opportunity, anxiety, humiliation and/or embarrassment.

26. The discriminatory violations described above are not an exhaustive list of the Defendant's current barriers to equal access and violations of the ADA because Plaintiff was unable to access and assess all areas of the subject premises due to the architectural barriers encountered. A complete list of the Subject Property's ADA violations affecting the Plaintiff as a wheelchair user, and the remedial measures necessary to remove same, will require an on-site inspection by Plaintiff's representatives pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34. Once the Plaintiff personally encounters discrimination, as alleged above, or learns of discriminatory violations through expert findings of personal observation, he has

actual notice that the defendant does not intend to comply with the ADA.

27. The defendant has a practice of failing to maintain the accessible elements at the Subject Property by neglecting its continuing duty to review, inspect, and discover transient accessible elements which by the nature of its design or placement, frequency of usage, exposure to weather and/or other factors, are prone to shift from compliant to noncompliant, so that said elements are discovered and remediated. Defendant failed and continues to fail to alter its inadequate maintenance practices to prevent future recurrence of noncompliance with dynamic accessible elements at the Subject Property in violation of 28 CFR §§ 36.202 and 36.211. These violations, as referenced hereinabove, made it impossible for Plaintiff, as a wheelchair user, to experience the same access to the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations of the Subject Property as Defendant's able-bodied patrons.

28. Accessible elements at the Subject Property have been altered and/or constructed since 2010.

29. The foregoing violations are violations of the 1991 ADAAG, and the 2010 ADAAG, as adopted by the U.S. Department of Justice. In instances where the 2010 ADAAG standards do not apply, the 1991 ADAAG standards apply, and all of the alleged violations set forth herein can be modified to comply with the 1991 ADAAG standards.

30. The removal of the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations alleged herein is readily achievable and can be accomplished and carried out without significant difficulty or expense. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. § 12181(9); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.

31. Each of the violations alleged herein is readily achievable to modify to bring the Subject Property into compliance with the ADA.

32. Removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at the Subject Property is readily achievable because of the site conditions at the Subject Property, the structural design of the subject facility, and the straightforward nature of the necessary modifications.

33. To assist businesses in offsetting the costs associated with complying with the ADA and removing barriers to access for individuals with disabilities, Section 44 of the IRS Code provides a tax credit for small business owners, and Section 190 of the IRS Code provides a tax deduction for all business owners, including the Defendant.

34. Removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions at the Subject Property is readily achievable because of the relative low cost of the necessary modifications and the Defendant has the financial resources to make the modifications, including the financial assistance made available to Defendant by the government pursuant to Section 44 and/or Section 190 of the IRS Code.

35. By continuing to maintain and/or operate the Subject Property with discriminatory conditions in violation of the ADA, Defendant contributes to Plaintiff's sense of isolation and segregation and deprives Plaintiff of the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations available to able bodied individuals of the general public.

36. Defendant is required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for its places of public accommodation that have existed prior to January 26, 1992, 28 CFR 36.304(a); additionally, if there has been an alteration to Defendant's place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992, then Defendant is required to ensure to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including people who use wheelchairs, 28 CFR 36.402; and finally, if the Defendant's facilities were designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR 36.401, then the Defendant's facilities must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA. To date, Defendant has failed to comply with this mandate.

37. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, is required to

remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Subject Property, including those alleged herein. Considering the balance of hardships between the Plaintiff and Defendant, a remedy in equity is warranted.

38. Plaintiff's requested relief serves the public interest.

39. Plaintiff's counsel is entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of litigation from Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 12188, 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505. Plaintiff will be denied full and equal access to the subject premises, as provided by the ADA unless the injunctive relief requested herein is granted.

40. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant Plaintiff injunctive relief; including an Order to alter the subject facilities to make them readily accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, and closing the subject facilities until the requisite modifications are completed, and ordering Defendant to fulfill its continuing duty to maintain the accessible features at the premises in the future as mandated by 28 CFR 36.211.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays as follows:

- A. That the Court find Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, in violation of the ADA and ADAAG;
- B. That the Court enter an Order requiring Defendant, POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION, to (i) remove the physical barriers to access and (ii) alter the Subject

Property to make the subject property readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;

- C. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant, pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 36.211, to fulfill its continuing duty to maintain and repair its accessible features and equipment in the future so that the facility remains accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities in the future, to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;
- D. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to implement and carry out effective policies, practices, and procedures to maintain and repair its accessible features and equipment in the future pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 36.302 and 28 C.F.R. § 36.211.
- E. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize its policies and procedures towards persons with disabilities for such reasonable time so as to allow them to undertake and complete corrective procedures;
- F. An award of attorneys' fees, costs (including expert fees), and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205;
- G. An award of interest upon the original sums of said award of attorney's fees, costs (including expert fees), and other expenses of suit; and
- H. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Dated this the 24th day of January, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

By: s/ Jeffrey Lucas Sanderson
Jeffrey Lucas Sanderson, Esq.
Counsel for Plaintiff
Tennessee State Bar No.: 35712

Of Counsel:

Wampler, Carroll, Wilson & Sanderson, P.C.
44 N 2nd Street, Ste 502
Memphis, TN 38103
Telephone: (901) 523-1844
Email: admin@wcwslaw.com

DEFENDANT TO BE SERVED:
POPLAR PERKINS ASSOCIATION
c/o Graber Investments
4646 Poplar Avenue
Memphis, TN 38117